1 2 3 Ms. Lisa G. Nouri For Def. Walker: 4 2526 Holmes Kansas City, MO 64108 5 (816) 547-5625 6 For Def. Richards: Mr. Gerald Gray, II 104 W. 9th Street, Ste. 401 7 Kansas City, MO 64105 (816) 888-3148 For Def. Thurmond: Mr. John Jenab 9 7431 Broadway, #9 Kansas City, MO 64114 10 (816) 759-8686 11 For Def. Schroeder: Ms. Cynthia M. Dodge 312 SW Greenwich Dr., #10 12 Lee's Summit, MO 64082 (8160 246-9200 13 14 15 Court Audio Operator: Ms. Traci Chorny 16 17 Transcribed by: Rapid Transcript Lissa C. Whittaker 18 1001 West 65th Street Kansas City, MO 64113 19 (816) 914-3613 20 21 22 23 24 Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

1 (Court in Session at 9:45 a.m.) 2 THE COURT: All right. We're here on Case No. 18-00293, 3 Defendants 02, 04, 06, 07, 09 and 10-CR-W-DGK. May I please have entry of appearance by the Government? 4 5 MR. RHOADES: Bruce Rhoades for the United States, Your 6 Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right. And let's go with Mr. Sparks. 8 MR. VANOVER: Joe Vanover appearing on behalf of Mr. 9 Sparks who appears in custody. 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And for Gloria May 11 Jones? 12 MS. FRANCIS: Jane Francis on behalf of Ms. Jones who 13 appears in person, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Francis. For Ms. Leslie 15 Walker. 16 MS. NOURI: Your Honor, good morning. Lisa Nouri with 17 Ms. Walker. 18 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Nouri. And for Mr. David 19 Richards, II. 20 MR. GRAY: Good morning, Your Honor. Gerald Gray here 21 on behalf of Mr. Richards who is seated beside me to my left. 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Gray. For Ms. 23 Stephanie Thurmond. 24 MR. JENAB: Your Honor, John Jenab with Ms. Thurmond.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Jenab. And for

Ms. Leeanna Schroeder.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DODGE: Good morning, Your Honor. Cynthia Dodge on behalf Leeanna Schroeder who appears in person and in custody.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Dodge. We're here for the arraignment and detention hearing. And first of all, I think when we had our initial appearance with all the defendants present, I talked about the financial affidavits that they were to sign. And I'll just remind each of the defendants that -- make sure that the information that you provided in that financial affidavit is true and correct because it was signed and the information was given under penalties of perjury. So, if you have any questions, please contact or talk with your attorney about that affidavit. All right. We're here because an Indictment was filed against each of you here in the Western District of Missouri charging you with conspiracy to distribute methamphetamine, conspiracy to commit money laundering. a continuing criminal enterprise as to Defendant Sparks only. Possession with firearms with drug trafficking for all of these defendants here today. Felon in possession of a firearm as to Mr. Sparks and Ms. Jones. And there is also a criminal forfeiture count. Does everyone have a copy of the Indictment in front of them?

ALL ATTORNEYS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And we had the -- the Indictment was read during the initial appearance, and I'll go down the list

again and ask the attorneys if you would waive a detailed reading 1 2 of the Indictment. For Mr. Sparks, Mr. Vanover? 3 MR. VANOVER: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. VANOVER: The defendant waives reading and enters a 6 plea of not guilty. 7 THE COURT: All right. And for Ms. Jones, Ms. Francis? 8 MS. FRANCIS: We also waive reading and enter a plea of 9 not guilty on all counts. 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And for Ms. Walker, 11 Ms. Nouri? MS. NOURI: Also waive reading and enter a not-guilty 12 13 plea, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. For Mr. Jones --15 excuse me, for Mr. Richards, Mr. Gray? 16 MR. GRAY: Yes, Your Honor. We also waive reading and 17 enter a plea of not guilty. 18 THE COURT: All right. For Ms. Thurmond, Mr. Jenab? 19 MR. JENAB: Waive reading, Your Honor, and enter a plea 20 of not quilty. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And for Ms. 22 Schroeder, Ms. Dodge? 23 MS. DODGE: We'd waive reading and enter a plea of not quilty on all counts.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And did you say that

24

also, Mr. Vanover, that --

MR. VANOVER: Yes. Waive reading and enter a plea of not guilty.

THE COURT: All right. All right. So, a plea of not guilty will be entered as to each of these defendants as to the counts that they were listed in. The next hearing after today, there is a scheduling conference that will be set for January the 7th at 1:30 and that will be before Judge Maughmer in Courtroom 7E. That's Monday, January 7th at 1:30 before Judge Maughmer.

All right. We'll go on to the issue of whether each defendant will be released on bond or if they will be detained pending this case. So, I think the best route to go is to just do it individually and I'll just go down the list first. So, I have the Pretrial Services Report for Mr. Trevor Scott Sparks that's dated December the 20th, 2018, authored by Pretrial Services Officer Jeremy Thomas. I'll ask you first, Mr. Rhoades, have you received a copy of that report?

MR. RHOADES: I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Vanover?

MR. VANOVER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Are there any corrections to those reports, Mr. Rhoades?

MR. RHOADES: Not by the United States, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Vanover, you can remain seated too.

MR. VANOVER: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. All right. Will the parties stipulate that if called the Pretrial Services Officer would testify consistent with this report?

MR. RHOADES: We will, Your Honor.

MR. VANOVER: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. All right. Any additional evidence, Mr. Rhoades?

MR. RHOADES: Yes. Judge, our evidence is set up in such a way that, candidly, I mean, I'm happy to do it however the Court wants me to do it, but I'm going to call the same agent and we're going to go over the same information for each defendant. So, if you want me to do it six times, I'm happy to do it however the Court wants me to do it.

THE COURT: Not six times, no.

MR. RHOADES: I can break it out, Judge. I don't want to mislead the Court. I can break it out to each defendant but it's going to be fairly repetitive. So, however the Court wants to do it, we're prepared to do it, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, I'll have you -- who are you going to call as a witness?

MR. RHOADES: Detective Horalek.

THE COURT: So, you just call him as a witness and that will apply to each of the defendants and then I'll -- we'll make notes on that. And then each individual defense counsel, if you want to put on additional evidence, then we can do that

individually. Okay?

Vanover.

MR. RHOADES: So, if I understand the Court is we're going -- the Government is going to call its witness, we're going to put on our entire detention case. Each of the defense lawyers will have an opportunity to cross-examine at that time. And then after that, if they have -- if each of them have evidence or argument or evidence or proffers they can do it that --

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. RHOADES: -- time.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. RHOADES: Judge, prior to that, do you want to go ahead and ask if everybody wants to proffer or stipulate to the Pretrial Report of each person?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. RHOADES: Of each defendant. Do you want to go ahead and do that?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. RHOADES: The Government does on each defendant.

THE COURT: All right. Because I already did it for Mr.

21 MR. RHOADES: Yes.

THE COURT: So, let's go ahead and do it for -- all right. Ms. Francis, would you stipulate to the report that, if called, the Pretrial Services Officer would testify consistent with that report?

MS. FRANCIS: Yes. We do have a couple of corrections. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. We're going to change up here. Okay. 3 All right. For Ms. Jones what would you have to change? 4 MS. FRANCIS: In looking at page 2, Your Honor, the 5 Criminal History, the second and third items, the first one dated 6 6/9/2007, in Independence, and the second one dated 7/31/2007, in 7 Sugar Creek. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MS. FRANCIS: Ms. Jones has no memory of those events. 10 They may be correct but we're unsure of the accuracy. As well as the same for 12/1/2001, it's one -- it's the sixth one down. 11 12 THE COURT: 2011? 13 MS. FRANCIS: Yes, ma'am. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MS. FRANCIS: I'm sorry. I said 2001. 16 12/1/2011, Kansas City, Mo. 17 THE COURT: So, she has no memory of that. 18 MS. FRANCIS: Right. And then the next one -- the first one on the next page is 12/19/2015, in the resolution, the arrest 19 20 was on 2015, the resolution was in 2014. Clearly that can't be correct. We tried to look over it before court to figure out 21 22 what the right dates are. So that information should not be

THE COURT: So, that's the correct arrest date.

accurate. We do know the 12/19/2015, is a correct arrest date

23

24

25

based on Mr. Hair's records.

MS. FRANCIS: There appear to have been multiple arrests 1 2 on this case, so -- and in our -- and when we were looking -- Mr. Hair didn't write this report, to when we were looking over the resolution we couldn't -- just couldn't find it real quick in the 4 5 -- but we know for a fact that it was not resolved in 2014. 6 THE COURT: But --7 MR. HAIR: Judge, if I could just enter --8 THE COURT: Sure. 9 MR. HAIR: But we did look at that case just before 10 It looked like that obstructed -- assault and 11 obstruction, this probably dates back prior to 2015. It looked 12 like she had been arrested multiple times on the same case maybe 13 dating back to 2012 and 2013. It did look like there were 14 several entries for the same number. So, the initial arrest date 15 may be inaccurate but (inaudible). 16 THE COURT: So, you believe that was the last arrest 17 date, not necessarily the --18 MR. HAIR: Yes. That's correct. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any objection to that 20 information? 21 MS. FRANCIS: To that last arrest date? 22 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 23 MS. FRANCIS: No. I believe that that's correct, that

24

25

that's the last arrest date.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FRANCIS: I just don't believe that it was resolved 1 2 in 2014. 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. Anything 4 else? 5 MS. FRANCIS: No, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right. For Leslie Walker, 7 Ms. Nouri, do you have any corrections to that report? 8 MS. NOURI: Your Honor, we stipulate to the report and 9 we have no changes to it. 10 THE COURT: All right. Okay. For Mr. Richards, Mr. 11 Gray? 12 MR. GRAY: Yes, Your Honor, just a few things. First, on page 3 of the report dealing with criminal history, in the 13 14 middle there is an entry regarding November 1^{st} of 2017, and it 15 involves resisting arrest and my client has no knowledge of that allegation. He stated that he received a fine or probation. And 16 17 then if you go --18 THE COURT: So, he -- you said November the $1^{\rm st}$ of 2017, 19 that one? 20 MR. GRAY: Yes, Your Honor. There is two counts. Ιt 21 looks like possession of drug paraphernalia and then it says 22 resisting arrest. 23 THE COURT: Well, did you say he thought he had a fine for that? 24

MR. GRAY: Well, no. And it says right here that he

plead guilty SIS and received probation.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. GRAY: But according to him he didn't have any -- he has no knowledge of being arrested for resisting arrest or pleading guilty to that charge.

THE COURT: At all?

MR. GRAY: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GRAY: And then we go down further, November the 28th of 2017, there is a count for unlawful possession in Clay County, and just below there it states that Mr. Richards failed to appear on April the 17th of this year and July the 31st, and he stated that he was in custody on both of those days which would be -- in another jurisdiction. I think he was in custody in Leavenworth in Johnson County and, therefore, was unable to appear in court which is why --

THE COURT: Well, I don't even see anything for

Leavenworth and Johnson County that would be near that time.

That's the issue I have with that. So, how can you be in custody for something that's not listed that --

MR. GRAY: Maybe the charges got dismissed. I'm just telling -- just conveying information, Your Honor. And then he also stated that in regards to the following page, I guess there is a new warrant that was issued by Johnson County on December the 6th of this year, so it looks probably about a week before

this Indictment came down. And he stated that when we went over that this morning that was the first he was made aware of that charge whatsoever, so he has no knowledge of that or what that entails.

THE COURT: But would you still stipulate that if called, the Pretrial Services Officer would testify consistent with this report?

MR. GRAY: That he would testify consistently possibly -- yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Thurmond?

MR. GRAY: But as to my client's belief that it's accurate, that's -- those are our challenges, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. You're saying he just didn't know about the warrant?

MR. GRAY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Jenab, for Ms.

MR. JENAB: Thank you, Judge. Your Honor, I would offer a couple of things. First, to update the address information. If Ms. Thurmond were granted release in this case, she would reside with her mother, Denise Thurmond. And I have provided to the Pretrial Officer the address in St. Charles, Missouri, for Ms. Thurmond, as well as her phone number. Also, Judge, with respect to the family ties on page 1, Ms. Thurmond has advised me that she is, in fact, not engaged to anyone, contrary to what is

indicated there. With those caveats, Judge, I would stipulate 1 2 that if called, the Pretrial Officer would testify consistent 3 with the report. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. So, she's not 4 5 engaged to Mr. Adam Mainieri or Ms. Schroeder? 6 MR. JENAB: Correct. 7 THE COURT: All right. All right. Thank you, Mr. 8 Jenab. And, Ms. Dodge, for Ms. Schroeder? 9 MS. DODGE: Yes, Your Honor. We would stipulate to the 10 Pretrial Report testimony and the only correction is that, yes, 11 my client will concur that she is not engaged. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MS. DODGE: Or to anyone. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Dodge. All 15 right. So, I will admit these reports into evidence as part of the record. Now, do you think -- are we ready now? 16 17 MR. RHOADES: Yeah. Oh, Judge, I'm ready whenever you 18 are. 19 THE COURT: No. That's fine. 20 MR. RHOADES: We'd call Detective Cory Horalek. 21 CORY HORALEK, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN 22 THE COURT: Good morning. 23 MR. HORALEK: Good morning, Judge. How are you? 24 THE COURT: Fine. How are you?

MR. HORALEK: Wonderful. Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. RHOADES:

- 3 Q. Would you state your name -- if you'll pull that microphone
- 4 over in front of you, please. Thank you. Could you state your
- 5 name for the record and spell your last name, please?
- $6 \parallel A$. Cory Horalek. The first name is C-O-R-Y. Last name is H-O-
- 7 | R-A-L-E-K.
- 8 Q. And you're a detective with the Kansas City, Missouri Police
- 9 Department, is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You are on assignment to the FBI as a task force officer?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. How long have you been a detective with the Kansas City,
- 14 Missouri Police Department?
- 15 A. Twenty-three years.
- $16 \parallel Q$. And how long have you been assigned to the FBI as a task
- 17 | force officer?
- 18 A. Eight or nine.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . And prior to that were you assigned to another federal agency
- 20 as a task force officer?
- 21 A. I worked with a Drug Enforcement Unit.
- 22 O. D --
- 23 A. Or DEA, I'm sorry.
- 24 Q. DEA. All right. Now, Detective Horalek, during your 20-some
- 25 years as an officer, have you been involved in multiple-defendant

- 1 drug investigations?
- 2 A. Yes.
- $3 \mid Q$. On more than one occasion?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You got an approximate number of times you've been involved
- 6 | in these multi-defendant investigations?
- 7 A. Over 20.
- 8 Q. Okay. Now, Detective Horalek, you were sitting in the
- 9 courtroom just now when the defense lawyers were talking about
- 10 stipulating to the Pretrial Service Officer's report, were you
- 11 | not?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you heard some comment from a few of the lawyers about
- 14 their client's status with respect to who they're engaged to or
- 15 not engaged to?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Are you familiar with a FBI officer -- an FBI agent in St.
- 18 Louis, Ryan Cornelius?
- 19 A. Yes.
- $20 \parallel Q$. Did you have a conversation with that FBI special agent
- 21 | shortly after the Indictment -- or I'm sorry -- shortly after the
- 22 arrest of Ms. Schroeder or Ms. Thurmond?
- 23 A. Yes.
- $24 \parallel Q$. Did he have any information for you at that time about any
- 25 conversation that either one of them had with law enforcement

- 1 about their status in relationship to each other?
- 2 A. A task force officer informed -- that transported them
- 3 informed me that they had been married.
- $4 \parallel Q$. And where did that task force officer get that information?
- 5 A. From them.
- $6 \parallel Q$. And then specifically from one of them?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Ms. Schroeder?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And FBI agent -- Special Agent Cornelius checked, and after
- 11 getting that information checked to see if there was any record
- 12 of that marriage, is that correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 0. And he found no record?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- $16 \parallel Q$. Was there any statement by Ms. Schroeder about why they had
- 17 done that?
- 18 \blacksquare A. So, they wouldn't have to testify against each other.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . All right. And that was at the time of their arrest?
- $20 \mid A$. That is correct.
- 21 | Q. Okay. Now, I want to back up quite a bit to give the Court a
- 22 bit of a summary of this Indictment. You were involved in this
- 23 case for a few months, is that correct?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Essentially you got asked to get involved in this case

- 1 sometime in the fall of 2018?
- $2 \, \mathbb{I} \, A$. That is correct.
- $3 \parallel \mathbb{Q}$. And as a result of your involvement in that case, you're
- 4 familiar with or had been involved in the interview of eight of
- 5 the co-defendants on this Indictment, is that correct?
- $6 \mid A. \quad Yes.$
- $7 \parallel Q$. Not all of those people are here currently today, right?
- 8 A. Yes, that's correct.
- $9 \parallel Q$. All right. So, in your -- as a result of your involvement in
- 10 or knowledge of those eight interviews, did you get a -- did
- 11 those folks implicate themselves in any criminal activity?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And did they implicate anybody else in the Indictment in that
- 14 same criminal activity?
- 15 A. Yes.
- $16 \parallel Q$. Could you summarize very briefly the kind of general gist of
- 17 the criminal activity that those eight folks implicated
- 18 themselves and the rest of the defendants in?
- 19 A. They are basically a drug-trafficking organization by Mr.
- 20 | Sparks. Two of his trusted individuals would be Leslie Walker
- $21 \parallel$ and Gloria Jones. Several others were also Marcus Patterson --
- 22 Q. I'm sorry. I asked a bad question.
- 23 A. Yeah.
- $24 \parallel Q$. So, let me ask a better question. During your review of
- 25 those statements or involvement in those statements, did -- of

- $1 \mid$ those eight co-defendants, did they implicate themselves and
- 2 everybody else in the Indictment in this drug-trafficking
- 3 | conspiracy?
- 4 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 5 Q. And what was the approximate amount of methamphetamine
- 6 distributed by this drug-trafficking conspiracy according to
- 7 | those eight co-defendants?
- 8 A. Over 500 kilograms.
- 9 Q. All right. Now, did -- as a result of those -- of this
- $10 \mid$ investigation, were there some controlled drug transactions
- 11 conducted?
- 12 A. Yes. I controlled two in Kansas City, Missouri. And the St.
- 13 Louis FBI and the Drug Task Force unit there did also one up
- 14 there.
- 15 Q. So, there were -- there was a drug buy in St. Louis. And who
- 16 was that involving?
- 17 A. That was with Schroeder and Thurmond.
- 18 \mathbb{Q} . And was there another drug -- was there another drug buy
- 19 conducted prior to a search warrant in St. Louis?
- $20 \parallel A$. I believe it was just one at the time. And then there was a
- $21 \parallel$ car check where Ms. Schroeder was in possession of narcotics too
- 22 | -- or methamphetamines.
- 23 Q. All right. And then the two that you conducted here, where
- 24 were they conducted?
- 25 A. At 5501 Smart.

- $1 \mid Q$. And whose residence is that?
- 2 A. Trevor Sparks' and Leslie Walker's and Gloria Jones'.
- $3 \parallel Q$. And were the three of them present during those two drug
- 4 | transactions?
- 5 A. On the first one, yes, all three.
- 6 0. And then on the second one?
- $7 \parallel A$. Just two. Leslie Walker and Gloria Jones.
- 8 Q. Okay. Now, all of those buys, those were small amounts, an
- 9 ounce of less of methamphetamine transactions, correct?
- 10 A. We purchased -- the purchase was for one ounce but they were
- 11 short both times.
- 12 Q. All right. Now, with respect to drug seizures during this
- 13 investigation, we've referenced a traffic stop involving Ms.
- 14 Schroeder I think you said?
- 15 A. Yes.
- $16 \mid Q$. And there was approximately --
- 17 A. Ms. Schroeder and it was Thurmond.
- 18 Q. Okay. So, there was a drug buy with Schroeder and Thurmond
- 19 and then a traffic stop where approximately an ounce was
- 20 recovered?
- 21 A. Yes.
- $22 \parallel Q$. And then there was a search warrant at a residence --
- 23 THE COURT: How much was that, Mr. Rhoades? Did you --
- 24 how much was that?
- 25 THE WITNESS: One ounce was purchased and Ms. Schroeder

was in possession of one ounce, too, --

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: -- she had hidden on her person --

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: -- or in her person.

THE COURT: All right. Sorry about that.

MR. RHOADES: No, no. That's fine, Judge.

8 BY MR. RHOADES:

- $9 \parallel Q$. Now, with respect to the search warrants or search warrant,
- 10 were there more than one search warrant conducted in this
- 11 investigation in St. Louis?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

- 13 Q. There were two involving the defendants in this Indictment,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- 16 Q. And both of those were at the residence of Ms. Schroder, Ms.
- 17 | Thurmond and Mr. Mainieri?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. All right. And that's the -- that's the 61 Chapman in
- 20 Winfield, Missouri?
- $21 \mid A$. That is correct.
- 22 0. And at that residence there were two search warrants
- 23 conducted. And at the first search warrant conducted there was
- 24 | multiple firearms and over 500 grams of methamphetamine
- 25 recovered?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- $2 \parallel Q$. And then the second search warrant, a small amount of
- 3 methamphetamine and another firearm was recovered?
- 4 A. That is correct.
- 5 Q. All right. And then with respect to Co-Defendant Ginnings,
- 6 when he was arrested at one of the times he was in -- found in
- 7 possession of methamphetamine and a firearm, is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes, that is correct.
- $9 \parallel Q$. All right. And then with respect to Defendant Patterson,
- 10 when he was arrested in the Rolla area, he was found in
- 11 possession of a pound of methamphetamine, is that correct?
- 12 A. Yeah, approximately a pound.
- 13 Q. All right. Now, I want to talk to you about some other
- 14 events that occurred during this investigation. Did you come to
- 15 tie any type of violence to this organization?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. In particular two deaths that were identified by law
- 18 enforcement as being homicide?
- 19 A. James Hampton and Brittanie Broyles.
- $20 \parallel Q$. And James Hampton, that was identified as part of this
- 21 conspiracy through the arrest and interview of Patterson and
- 22 Ginnings, is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- $24 \parallel Q$. Among other statements by some of those same eight co-
- 25 defendants that you discussed.

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. And if you could give just a very broad overview of what
- 3 those statements all said happened to Mr. Hampton. Very briefly.
- 4 A. Very brief. Whew. Basically, David Richards was in charge
- 5 of the house in -- Ms. Schroeder's and Ms. Thurmond's. And he
- 6 stole Ms. Schroeder's Chrysler 300, approximately \$30,000 cash
- 7 and reportedly 10 ounces of methamphetamine belonging to Mr.
- 8 | Sparks. He became --
- 9 Q. Did Mr. Hampton show up as offering to help try to locate Mr.
- 10 Richards?
- 11 A. Yes. He said he knew where he was at.
- 12 \mathbb{Q} . And as a result of Mr. Hampton showing up that he -- Mr.
- 13 Hampton was then essentially beaten to death in an effort to
- 14 figure out whether or not he was telling the truth about it or
- 15 was faking his information, is that correct?
- $16 \parallel A$. Yes. He was beaten, yes.
- 17 Q. And Ms. Broyles was Mr. Hampton's girlfriend?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And so Ms. Broyles' involvement in the investigation is only
- 20 resulting in the fact that she was Mr. Hampton's girlfriend?
- 21 A. She was Mr. Hampton's girlfriend, yes.
- 22 | Q. And so after Mr. Hampton was seized and beaten, Ms. Broyles
- 23 was taken into possession by Mr. Sparks and others in the
- 24 | investigation, is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And brought to Kansas City?
- 2 A. Yes.
- $3 \mid Q$. And she was ultimately found dead in Kansas City?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And then you interviewed several people in the investigation
- 6 and they indicated that Mr. Ginnings, Mr. Patterson, and others
- 7 were responsible for her murder?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- $9 \parallel Q$. All right. And all of the people that you talked to
- 10 indicated that the beating death of Mr. Hampton and the murder of
- 11 Ms. Broyles was directly related to the drug-trafficking
- 12 conspiracy run by Mr. Sparks and at Mr. Sparks' direction?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. All right. Now, the beating -- the initial beating of Mr.
- 15 | Hampton occurred in St. Louis, is that correct?
- $16 \parallel A$. Yes, at that Winfield residence.
- 17 0. That's the Thurmond-Schroeder-Mainieri residence?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. And were Thurmond and Schroder present during that event?
- $20 \parallel A$. Yes, they were.
- $21 \parallel Q$. Now, to be fair they were put into a room and their phones
- 22 were taken from them, but they were present in that house?
- 23 A. Yes. And then Ms. Jones was to watch over them and they were
- 24 supposed to not come out of the room.
- 25 Q. Okay. And this is in St. Louis?

- $1\ \ \text{A.}$ That is correct. Well, that Winfield address, yes. In
- 2 eastern --
- 3 Q. I'm sorry. In the St. Louis area?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Thank you for correcting me. I apologize. And so the --
- 6 that occurred approximately August of 2018, is that right?
- $7 \, \blacksquare \, A$. That is correct.
- 8 Q. Mid-August?
- 9 A. In August. Well, early August.
- 10 Q. All right. And when was the first time that you're aware
- 11 Thurmond, Schroeder or Mainieri talked about that beating of Mr.
- 12 | Hampton?
- 13 A. We received jailhouse phone calls --
- 14 Q. I'm sorry. I asked a bad question. When was the first time
- 15 that you're aware of in the investigation that Thurmond, Schroder
- $16 \parallel$ or Mainieri reported that information to law enforcement?
- 17 A. When I interviewed them.
- $18 \parallel Q$. And when was that?
- 19 A. Well, I didn't interview them. Sorry
- $20 \parallel Q$. When they were interviewed.
- $21 \parallel A$. When I started conducting the interviews, that's when I
- 22 | learned about this beating --
- 23 Q. Okay.
- $24 \parallel A$. -- and the death.
- $25 \parallel Q$. And that happened approximately when?

- A. I started my interviews in November.
- $2 \parallel Q$. Okay. And so in --
- 3 MR. RHOADES: Just one second, Judge, I apologize. I 4 need to pull out a -- I apologize, Judge. Just one moment.
- 5 THE COURT: That's fine.
- 6 BY MR. RHOADES:

- $7 \mid Q$. So, the search warrants were conducted -- the first search
- 8 warrant at Chapman Lane in Winfield was conducted on August 13th,
- 9 is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 11 Q. And then on that same day is when Thurmond was interviewed by
- $12 \parallel$ the authorities in the St. Louis area and she talked about
- 13 Hampton's beating at her residence there at Chapman?
- 14 A. Yes, that's when we talked about it.
- 15 \mathbb{Q} . And the same date for Schroder? And Schroeder gave an
- $16 \parallel$ interview that same day indicating the same type of activity
- 17 occurred?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. All right. So, the -- however, the body of Mr. Hampton was
- 20 recovered in the Western District of Missouri on August the 6^{th} ,
- 21 | is that correct?
- $22 \, \mathbb{I} \, A$. That is correct.
- $23 \parallel Q$. And so the -- based on the recovery of the body and the
- 24 statements it is presumed that the beating occurred sometime the
- 25 first part of August prior to August the 6th?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- $2 \parallel Q$. All right. And then the second search warrant that occurred
- 3 at the Chapman residence in -- over in the St. Louis area, that
- $4 \parallel$ recovered some evidence of that beating from the -- from a burn
- 5 pit and other evidence in the residence.
- $6 \, | \, A$. That was the first search warrant.
- $7 \, | \, Q$. Oh, it is the first search warrant, August 13^{th} .
- 8 A. Yes.
- $9 \ Q$. Thank you. Is that right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. All right. And so in Ms. Thurmond and Schroeder's
- 12 interviews, whether it was on August 13th or later, did they
- 13 indicate whether they were involved in cleaning up the evidence
- 14 from the beating?
- 15 A. Ms. Schroeder assisted in the cleaning up.
- $16 \parallel Q$. All right. And then they were aware that Mr. Hampton had
- 17 been taken from that residence to somewhere by Mr. Sparks and Mr.
- 18 Patterson with Ms. Broyles?
- 19 A. They said when Ms. Thurmond woke up he was gone.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- $21 \parallel A$. Ms. Schroeder noted that he left with the -- or that he was
- 22 -- everybody left with Mr. Sparks.
- 23 | Q. And then in interviewing Mr. Patterson, he indicated that
- 24 | they loaded up Mr. Hampton from -- from that residence and
- 25 brought him to the Kansas City area where ultimately he died and

- 2 | Ginnings?
- 3 A. He was tied up and put in the trunk of the car, that is
- 4 correct.
- 5 Q. And the individuals involved in transporting from the St.
- 6 Louis area to the Kansas City area was Patterson and Sparks and
- 7 who else?
- 8 \blacksquare A. Vicente Araujo and Gloria Jones.
- 9 Q. Now, with respect to Ms. Broyles, once they got here, Ms.
- 10 Broyles and another individual who is not charged in this case,
- 11 Ms. Wesson, were kept "in custody," in quotes, by this same group
- 12 for a number of days, is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes. Ms. Wesson was beforehand. She was before this
- 14 occurred. She was already being held with him and not allowed to
- 15 leave.
- $16 \, | \, \bigcirc$. And who was involved in that?
- 17 A. Mr. Sparks. She had lost some of his drug money. They were
- 18 supposed to return it with Ms. Jones. They gambled it away at a
- 19 casino and punishment -- and she was -- phones were taken away
- 20 and she was not allowed to leave. Ms. Jones and Ms. Walker were
- 21 | also in charge of looking after her and making sure she didn't
- 22 leave.
- 23 Q. And then Ms. Broyles was added to that mix with Ms. Wesson?
- 24 A. Once they came back with her from -- they had her and then
- 25 she was put in with Wesson and that's correct.

- 1 Q. And Walker and Jones, Ginnings and Patterson were all
- 2 involved in keeping them in that residence or that hotel?
- $3 \mid A$. Walker -- Walker, Jones, Patterson and Ginnings, yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. And then ultimately, according to your investigation,
- 5 Patterson and Ginnings left with Broyles and Broyles was killed
- 6 by one of the two of them?
- $7 \, \blacksquare \, A$. That is correct.
- 8 Q. And that's according to Ginnings and Patterson and the third
- 9 | individual, Ms. Walker?
- $10 \, | \, A$. Yes, that is correct.
- 11 Q. And Ginnings and Walker stated that Patterson killed Broyles.
- 12 | Patterson says Ginnings killed Broyles.
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 \parallel Q. Do any of them say who directed that?
- 15 A. Everybody says that the directions were carried -- because of
- 16 Mr. Sparks.
- 17 Q. And the reason for that was her knowledge of the beating and
- 18 death of Mr. Hampton?
- 19 A. She wasn't -- yeah. They did not want -- know what to do
- 20 with her. They couldn't let her go because they -- the beating
- 21 and they beat this guy and he ended up dying.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. And she knew about it, so she couldn't be let go.
- 24 Q. All right. Now, you're familiar with the arrest situations
- 25 for Thurmond, Schroeder, Richards, Sparks, Walker and Jones, is

- 1 | that correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So, let's talk about Ms. Thurmond. When she was arrested
- 4 over in the St. Louis area she was arrested without incident
- 5 other than -- but they found floating in the toilet about seven
- 6 grams of methamphetamine next to the room where she was arrested
- 7 out of.
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. Ms. Schroeder was arrested at some relative's residence
- 10 | without incident.
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 Q. Then -- I'm sorry, let me back up to Ms. Thurmond. At the
- 13 time Ms. Thurmond was arrested she was in the presence of an
- 14 individual that is currently pending state drug charges and
- 15 another individual that's a convicted felon?
- 16 \mathbb{A} . That is correct.
- 17 Q. With respect to Mr. Richards, he was arrested without
- 18 incident at his residence.
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. I'll come back to Mr. Sparks. Ms. Walker -- and Ms. Walker.
- 21 Ms. Jones was arrested at the residence of Mr. Sparks' new wife,
- 22 | is that correct?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 Q. Mr. Sparks was not present. It was just Ms. Jones, a child,
- 25 and the new wife?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. And where Ms. Jones' phone was and where she had been staying
- 3 was found a magazine with ammunition?
- 4 A. That is correct.
- 5 Q. All right. Now, let's talk about Mr. Sparks and Ms. Walker's
- 6 arrest. They were arrested together at the Smart address or a
- 7 different address?
- 8 A. Smart residence.
- $9 \parallel 0$. And at that residence Ms. Walker was located in the
- 10 residence, is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Taken into custody essentially without incident?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Mr. Sparks was also taken into custody in that residence, is
- 15 | that correct?
- $16 \, \blacksquare \, A$. That was correct.
- 17 $\|$ Q. He was not found inside the residence, he was found in the
- 18 attic?
- $19 \parallel A$. Well, he was -- yeah, in the attic was where he was hiding.
- $20 \mid Q$. Inside the residence but in the attic?
- 21 A. Yes.
- $22 \parallel Q$. And eventually he agreed to come out of the attic after
- 23 attempts by law enforcement.
- $24 \parallel A$. Yeah. When they made entry there was three people -- well,
- 25 | there was two people initially, Ms. Walker and first name Cory

- 1 Hack. They were asked if there was anybody else in the
- 2 residence, they both said there was not. And then they found Mr.
- 3 | Sparks hiding in the attic.
- 4 Q. And Mr. Sparks came out of the attic?
- $5 \parallel A$. He finally came out of the attic.
- 6 Q. All right. Now, let's talk about what was located in that
- 7 residence subsequent to a federal search warrant being issued.
- 8 There were -- I have a list here somewhere. One second. Twenty-
- $9 \parallel \text{one firearms}?$
- $10 \, \blacksquare \, A$. That is correct.
- 11 Q. Mr. Hack claimed some of those were his, correct?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. He initially claimed they had been legally purchased, but he
- 14 admitted that he had been using drugs for a number of years. And
- 15 so anytime he purchased those firearms, he did not claim to be a
- $16 \parallel \text{drug}$ user so they were not technically legally purchased,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Yeah. Every gun that he claimed that he filled out the
- 19 paperwork he did while he was using methamphetamine and
- 20 marijuana. Yes, that is correct.
- $21 \parallel Q$. All right. And then -- so, 21 firearms. And there was
- 22 | approximately \$33,000?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Eight hundred and seventy-eight grams -- this is field weight
- 25 -- 878 grams of powder cocaine?

- 1 A. Yes.
- $2 \parallel Q$. Two hundred and ninety-six grams of methamphetamine, 70 grams
- 3 of crack cocaine and 100 grams of marijuana.
- 4 A. Yes.
- $5 \parallel Q$. And then a plethora of digital scales.
- $6 \mid A. \quad Yes.$
- $7 \parallel Q$. And drug ledgers or ledgers that you identified as -- you
- 8 believed to be drug ledgers based on your training and
- 9 experience.
- 10 A. Drug ledgers.
- 11 \mathbb{Q} . Various numerous rounds of various ammunition.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And then numerous rounds of spent shell casings.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 \mathbb{Q} . Spent shell casings, where were they?
- $16 \parallel A$. They were in the basement where they were practicing shooting
- |17| into the dirt wall and the stairs that climb out of the -- the
- 18 basement had bullet holes in them.
- 19 Q. So, they were using the basement as a firing range?
- 20 A. That's -- yeah. Yes.
- $21 \parallel Q$. All right. Now, there was other items that you would
- 22 determine -- which you would describe as drug paraphernalia,
- 23 would that be correct?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. I want to go very briefly. Ms. Thurmond admitted to

- 1 her involvement in a multi-kilo drug distribution conspiracy, is
- 2 | that correct?
- $3 \mid A.$ Yes.
- $4 \parallel Q$. Ms. Schroeder did the same thing?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Mr. Richards, same thing?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Ms. Walker?
- $9 \mid A$. Yes.
- 10 Q. Mr. Sparks and Ms. Jones did not provide information to you,
- 11 is that correct?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. And with respect to the residence where Ms. Walker and Mr.
- $14 \parallel \text{Sparks}$ were arrested, that is a residence that is registered to
- 15 her, is that correct?
- $16 \parallel A$. Her name is on utilities, but I don't think it's registered
- 17 to her.
- 18 Q. Okay. I misspoke. She shares a connection to that residence
- 19 other than being there. When you did two drug buys, she was also
- 20 | there at the arrest but also lists the -- the utilities are
- 21 listed in her name.
- 22 A. Yes. And in a police report she's listed that as a residence
- 23 also.
- $24 \parallel Q$. And also Ms. Walker, we talked earlier about Mr. Patterson
- 25 | being caught with a pound of methamphetamine in Rolla, in the

- Rolla area, Ms. Walker indicated that she had actually handed two pounds of methamphetamine to Mr. Patterson prior to that trip
- 3 down there.
- $4 \parallel$ A. Well, she said that that methamphetamine was provided --
- 5 she's the one that gave it to him, but it was Mr. Sparks'
- 6 methamphetamine, but she didn't really know what weights. She
- 7 wasn't very good with weights.
- 8 Q. All right.
- $9 \parallel A$. But I know that Mr. Patterson told me that he had taken a
- 10 kilo of meth down to that area to sell it and was supposed to
- 11 bring back \$18,000 for Mr. Sparks. He had sold approximately a
- 12 pound of it and then they arrested him with the other pound and
- 13 he had approximately -- a little under \$9,000 in currency that
- 14 was seized from him down there.
- 15 Q. Okay. And then the -- Ms. Walker indicated to you she wasn't
- $16 \parallel \text{very good with weights.}$ Wasn't she involved in the drug buys?
- 17 A. She was involved in the drug buys.
- 18 Q. Okay. And was methamphetamine weighed out during those drug
- 19 buys?
- $20 \parallel A$. It was weighed out by Ms. Gloria Jones.
- 21 Q. Thank you.
- $22 \parallel A$. And the money was given to Ms. Walker.
- MR. RHOADES: All right. Okay. Judge, I think that's
- 24 all I have at this time.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Vanover, you can go first,

cross-examination.

1

2

3

MR. VANOVER: Thank you, Judge.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

4 BY MR. VANOVER:

- 5 Q. Detective, why was Mr. Hampton killed?
- $6 \parallel A$. Why was Ms. Hampton killed?
- 7 Q. Wasn't it Mr. Hampton, James Hampton?
- 8 A. Yes. I'm sorry.
- $9 \ Q$. Why was Mr. Hampton killed?
- 10 A. Mr. Hampton was beaten at the residence of -- at Westfield
- 11 [sic] due to the fact that he had supposedly had known where Mr.
- 12 Richards was at. They responded to that area. They drove around
- 13 looking for him with -- well, Ms. Schroeder and Thurmond looked
- 14 \parallel for him before they got there. And then they also went when Mr.
- 15 Sparks, Patterson, Araujo and Jones got there. They also went
- $16 \parallel$ and looked for him again. Unable to find him, they returned back
- 17 to the residence. At that time Mr. Sparks had taken their keys
- 18 so they couldn't leave.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . Why were they looking for Mr. Richards?
- $20 \parallel A$. Because he had supposedly stolen some money, a car and some
- 21 drugs that belonged to Mr. Sparks.
- 22 | Q. And then Mr. -- your information is Mr. Hampton was killed
- 23 | because they were -- it wasn't intentional, is that your
- 24 | information? That Mr. Hampton's death was somewhat accidental,
- 25 | they were beating to him to get information but then he died not

- on purpose. That's the gist that I got from hearing your testimony, is that a fair --
- 3 A. I would say that is actually incorrect. They beat him. They
- 4 | had him tied up in the residence. They beat him there with pots
- 5 and pans. They had him tied there. They untied him, threw him
- 6 in the trunk of his car. He tried to escape. They chased him
- 7 down. Well, they stabbed him. They chased him down, beat him
- 8 again and, quote, "They beat him too bad." And then he -- once
- 9 they arrive in Kansas City, Mr. Sparks told Ms. Wesson to watch
- $10 \parallel$ the trunk of the car and make sure nothing comes out of the car.
- 11 He gets out of the car, then he laughs and says, and "He's
- 12 probably dead anyway, don't worry about it." So, I would say
- 13 | that's intentional.
- 14 Q. But your information is Mr. Sparks said he's probably dead
- 15 | anyway?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And then Ms. Broyles, how do you spell Broyles?
- 18 A. B-R-O-Y-L-E-S, I believe.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . She was girlfriend to Mr. Hampton.
- 20 A. Allegedly at that time, yes.
- $21 \parallel Q$. And she was killed because she knew about Hampton's -- the
- 22 | beating of Hampton?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And who directed or ordered Ms. Broyles killed?
- 25 A. I was told that Sparks had directed that Ginnings and

- $1 \parallel$ Patterson took her out and then Patterson shot her.
- $2 \mid Q$. And when you spoke with or when agents spoke with Mr. Sparks,
- 3 did he give a statement?
- 4 A. Not that I know of.
- 5 Q. So, all the information about Mr. Sparks comes from other co-
- 6 defendants?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Has any of the information about Mr. Sparks come from law
- 9 enforcement that directly saw him do things or heard him do
- 10 things?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Has any information against Mr. Sparks come from wiretaps or
- 13 surveillance or any other means where law enforcement basically
- 14 observed Mr. Sparks saying or doing something?
- 15 A. No.
- $16 \parallel Q$. Okay. So, the evidence against Mr. Sparks comes from other
- 17 people that you believe to be other criminals?
- 18 \blacksquare A. The other individuals involved in this, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Thank you. That's all.
- 20 A. You're welcome.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Francis.
- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION CONTINUES
- 23 BY MS. FRANCIS:
- 24 Q. I represent Ms. Jones.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. I just want to talk a little bit about her arrest. You said
- $2 \parallel$ it was at this house. And were there any incidents during her
- 3 arrest? Any struggle?
- 4 A. Oh.
- 5 Q. Any denial of who she was?
- 6 A. I'm sorry. No, not that I know of. I wasn't there, but I
- 7 was not reported of that at all, no.
- 8 Q. Okay. And in your knowledge of this killing of Mr. Walker --
- 9 let me make sure I've gotten the names right.
- 10 A. Hampton.
- 11 Q. Hampton. Sorry. And Ms. Jones as far as you know wasn't
- 12 involved directly in -- she wasn't beating him herself. Is that
- 13 | your information?
- 14 A. No. She was just making -- she was there -- she was present.
- 15 She was there when Schroeder and Thurmond were in the -- supposed
- $16 \parallel$ to be in the room. And then she assisted with Broyles being
- 17 | taken to Kansas City.
- $18 \parallel Q$. And this is based on the information from Schroeder and
- 19 Thurmond?
- 20 A. From also Wesson, Patterson, Ginnings and Schroeder and
- 21 | Thurmond, yes.
- $22 \parallel Q$. Were those other people besides Schroeder and Thurmond in the
- 23 room when this happened?
- $24 \parallel A$. Schroeder and Thurmond reported that they had left the room,
- 25 | witnessed some of the beating, witnessed him tied -- Mr. Hampton

- 1 tied up in the residence. And they also witnessed Patterson,
- 2 Vicente Araujo they knew as Mexican Junior, and Sparks beating
- 3 Patterson -- beating Hampton.
- 4 Q. I'm sorry, Mexican Junior?
- 5 A. Yeah. He's also a defendant. He's not in custody at this
- 6 time. His name is Vicente Araujo. There's two juniors.
- $7 \parallel Q$. But in terms of the people who have firsthand knowledge that
- 8 Ms. Jones kept Ms. Schroeder and Ms. Thurmond, is there anyone
- 9 who has firsthand knowledge of that that's spoken to you other
- 10 than Ms. Schroeder and Ms. Thurmond?
- 11 A. Ms. Wesson did.
- 12 Q. And where was Ms. Wesson when all this was happening?
- 13 A. Ms. Wesson was in Kansas City with -- in a -- being held in a
- 14 hotel room with Ms. Walker.
- 15 Q. So, Ms. Wesson wasn't there. So, she doesn't have firsthand
- 16 knowledge.
- 17 A. Oh, I'm sorry. No.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. No, I misunderstood. I apologize.
- $20 \parallel Q$. So, the only ones who are telling this story are Ms. Thurmond
- 21 and Ms. Schroeder?
- $22 \parallel A$. No. Mr. Patterson is also telling the story.
- 23 Q. And where was Mr. Patterson?
- $24 \parallel A$. In the beating.
- $25 \parallel Q$. So, you have one of the beaters and the two woman, who

- 1 unclear marriage status, as the people who claim that Ms. Jones
- 2 was there?
- $3 \mid A$. Yes, that is correct.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- 5 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Nouri.
- 6 MS. NOURI: Thank you, Your Honor.
 - CROSS-EXAMINATION CONTINUES
- 8 BY MS. NOURI:

- $9 \parallel Q$. Good morning, Detective.
- 10 A. Good morning, ma'am.
- 11 Q. Detective, I understand that you said that Ms. Walker was
- 12 | arrested without incident.
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 \parallel Q. And she gave you information about herself.
- 15 A. She did.
- $16 \parallel Q$. Would you call her cooperative in information about herself?
- 17 A. I think during the interview she was cooperative to me, yes.
- $18 \, \square \, Q$. And when she was arrested, did she have any weapons on her
- 19 person?
- 20 A. I wasn't present, but I did not hear that, no. I heard that
- 21 she did not.
- $22 \parallel Q$. There were weapons in the home that she was residing in, but
- 23 she didn't have any weapons on her person in your reporting?
- $24 \parallel A$. But what I know of, yeah, that is correct.
- 25 Q. And you said that she said something to the effect of she's

- 1 not good with weights?
- $2 \mid A$. Yes, she did.
- $3 \parallel Q$. But she's -- she can handle money?
- 4 A. That's -- yes.
- 5 Q. Well, the transactions that were undercover or surveilled she
- 6 received money?
- 7 A. Yes. Ms. Jones is the one that weighed out the narcotics and
- $8 \parallel \text{Ms.}$ Walker is the one that was -- that took possession of our buy
- 9 money, that is correct.
- $10 \, | \, | \, Q$. And did Ms. Walker concede to you that she had a
- 11 methamphetamine addiction?
- 12 A. I don't know if addiction was ever used, but I know she said
- 13 she used methamphetamine. I don't think I ever asked her if she
- 14 was addicted to it, but I think -- but I know she said she used
- 15 lit.
- $16 \parallel Q$. And to be clear, she has nothing to do with anything in St.
- 17 | Louis, is that correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. And she hasn't been accused of beating anyone or hurting
- 20 anyone?
- 21 A. The only thing that she's done is she looked over and made
- 22 sure that Wesson, and then when they brought Broyles back, were
- 23 not allowed to leave. They made sure -- she looked over -- her
- 24 job was to like look over them and make sure they didn't leave,
- 25 watch over them.

- 1 Q. And who told you that?
- 2 A. She did.
- 3 Q. Okay. Insofar as any kind of physical assaults on anyone,
- 4 she has no personal involvement?
- 5 A. No.
- $6 \parallel Q$. Thank you, Detective.
- 7 A. You're welcome. Thank you.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gray.
- 9 MR. GRAY: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
- 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION CONTINUES
- 11 BY MR. GRAY:
- 12 Q. Good morning, Detective. How are you?
- 13 A. Good, sir. How are you?
- 14 Q. Doing pretty good. Now, in relation to your testimony
- 15 regarding the two murders that you mentioned, you stated that
- $16 \parallel$ those people or the reason why those people were killed was
- $17 \parallel$ because my client allegedly stole from the co-defendants?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. So, they were actually looking for my client?
- $20 \mid A$. That is correct.
- $21 \parallel Q$. Okay. And to your knowledge were they planning -- did they
- 22 | -- were they planning on killing my client or --
- $23 \parallel A$. I thought they would if they found him, they would kill him.
- 24 But talking to Ms. Walker he was loyal and respected by them and
- 25 she thought they would just beat on him.

- 1 Q. Okay. Okay. Now, you stated earlier that you had spoken
- 2 with Mr. Richards, correct?
- $3 \parallel A$. That is correct.
- 4 Q. Okay. And did you tell him when you spoke with him that you
- 5 considered him to be a witness?
- 6 A. I considered him as a witness to -- as part of this, yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. Now, in the Indictment it charges Mr. -- and you've
- 8 seen the Indictment, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 \mathbb{Q} . Do you have a copy of it in front of you?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 MR. GRAY: Permission to approach, Your Honor?
- 13 THE COURT: Yes.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
- MR. GRAY: Yes, sir.
- 16 BY MR. GRAY:
- 17 Q. If you can direct your attention to Count Four.
- 18 A. Yes.
- MR. GRAY: And, Your Honor, if I may, because that's my
- 20 only copy that I have, so can I share that?
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 MR. RHOADES: Judge, I can give him a copy.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MS. CHORNY: I was going to say I have one.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Sorry about that.

MR. GRAY: No, you're fine.

MR. RHOADES: I mean I'll give Cory a copy. You can have yours back.

THE WITNESS: There you are, sir.

MR. RHOADES: Just so you know, Gerald, this is the same Indictment. So, I'm not faking an Indictment.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. GRAY: All right.

9 BY MR. GRAY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

- Q. Now, so if you'd turn to Count Four, it references that all defendants were charged with Count Four, which is possession of
- 12 firearms and drug trafficking, is that correct?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. All right. And it references an incident that occurred on
- 15 November the 22^{nd} of this year, correct?
- 16 A. November 22^{nd} . Yes.
 - MR. RHOADES: Judge, if Mr. Gray is wanting to make the point that his client was in custody on November $22^{\rm nd}$, we'll agree to that.
 - MR. GRAY: Right. So --
- 21 MR. RHOADES: It's real simple to get to that point.
- MR. GRAY: And again, Bruce, thank you.
- 23 BY MR. GRAY:
- Q. I'm trying to get to my point, which is that my client was in custody, as Bruce Rhoades mentioned, so, therefore, he did not

1 possess a gun to your knowledge in this case, correct?

- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Okay.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 4 A. Over this time period, no.
- 5 Q. Did he possess a gun --

MR. RHOADES: I'm sorry. Judge, I want to -- I'm sorry to interrupt, Judge. But I believe the question was that he didn't possess a gun on the date of November the 22nd when he was in custody. The confusion is not whether he was in possession of guns at any time during this conspiracy. So, if the question is did he possess a gun on that specific date while he was in custody, the obvious answer is no. That is not an admission by the Government's witness that he was never in possession of firearms during this case.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll let him answer -- ask the question. And then if you need to clarify, Mr. Rhoades, you can do that. Okay.

MR. RHOADES: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

- 20 BY MR. GRAY:
- $21 \parallel Q$. And that was the question and you stated no, correct?
- 22 A. On the 22^{nd} --
- 23 Q. Correct.
- $24 \parallel A$. -- he was not in possession of a firearm that I know of.
- 25 Q. Okay. And did he possess a firearm at any other time?

- 1 A. There were firearms at the residence and Schroeder -- or he
- 2 was in charge of that drug house, yes.
- $3 \parallel \mathbb{Q}$. Okay. But you -- and you caught him in possession of a
- 4 | firearm?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Okay. So, you've never seen Mr. Richards with a firearm?
- $7 \mid A$. Personally, no.
- 8 Q. Okay. And any information of Mr. Richards allegedly having a
- 9 firearm came from co-defendants?
- 10 A. Came from the search warrants at the house that he was in
- 11 charge of with weapons recovered from there, yes.
- 12 Q. Right. Was he present during that search warrant?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Okay. So, he wasn't present during the search warrant. You
- 15 never actually caught him with a gun, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. You never actually caught him with any drugs, correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. And any information that you obtained stating that he
- $20 \parallel$ was in charge of some drug house came from co-defendants,
- 21 | correct?
- 22 A. It also came from himself.
- 23 $\|$ Q. So, he said that he was in charge of -- of --
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. And you also stated that he had stolen from these co-

- 1 defendants and they were looking for him and you believed that
 2 they were going to kill him?
- 3 A. I said yes. Yeah, I believed they were going to kill him.
- 4 Q. Okay. And you also told him that you believed that he was a
- 5 witness?
- A. I also read him his rights and we went over his rights and that he was involved in this -- on this and I was looking for a witness against Mr. Sparks, that is correct.
- 9 MR. GRAY: Okay. All right. I have no further 10 questions, Your Honor. Thank you.
- THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right. Mr.
- 12 Jenab. Can you get out?
- MR. JENAB: I can. Thank you, Judge.
- MR. RHOADES: I'm sure Mr. Jenab can be heard from over there, Judge.
- MR. JENAB: That's probably true.
- 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION CONTINUES
- 18 BY MR. JENAB:
- 19 Q. Detective, I represent Ms. Thurmond.
- 20 A. Okay.
- $21 \parallel Q$. I want to focus on her on my questions. And the first thing
- 22 I wanted to ask you is regarding this August 13th of 2018 search
- 23 warrant at the residence.
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. First off, you indicated there were three individuals living

- 1 there, and that would be Ms. Thurmond, Ms. Schroeder, and Mr.
- 2 Mainieri?
- 3 A. I believe at that time he might have been in custody at that
- 4 | time.
- 5 Q. Okay. And then Mr. Richards, I take it, was frequently at
- 6 that residence as well?
- $7 \, \blacksquare \, \text{A.}$ He was there during a period of time, yes.
- $8 \ Q$. So, when you -- were you part of the search warrant team?
- $9 \, \blacksquare \, \text{A.} \,$ No, I've never been to the residence.
- 10 \mathbb{Q} . Do you know where the various items were recovered?
- MR. RHOADES: John, are you asking about which
- 12 residence?
- 13 MR. JENAB: The one in Winfield.
- 14 MR. RHOADES: Okay. Sorry. I thought you were asking
- 15 about a different one.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Off of reports but, no, I was never there.
- 17 I never saw any -- I was never there, period.
- 18 BY MR. JENAB:
- 19 Q. Okay. Would it be fair to say, or can you say whether of
- 20 | this -- these multiple firearms that were recovered or of the
- 21 greater than, I think you indicated more than 500 grams of
- 22 methamphetamine were recovered?
- $23 \parallel A$. Yes. That's what the reports were, yes.
- 24 Q. All right. Do you have any reason to believe that those --
- 25 any of those items were recovered from areas that were under the

- 1 dominion and control of Ms. Thurmond? For example, you know, in
- 2 an item belonging to her or in her private room or anything like
- 3 | that?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- $6 \parallel A$. I don't know where they were recovered. I just know they
- 7 were recovered at the residence, and I apologize.
- 8 Q. Would that also be true for the second search warrant?
- 9 A. I wasn't there either.
- 10 \mathbb{Q} . So, would it again be fair to say that you -- that the small
- 11 amount of methamphetamine that was recovered on that date and the
- 12 firearm that was recovered on that date, you can't really pin
- 13 down a location where they were recovered that would be -- make
- 14 | it more attributable to a particular individual?
- 15 A. I don't know where they were recovered at, sir.
- $16 \parallel Q$. Okay. All right. Now, you indicated that there was a
- 17 controlled purchase that involved both Ms. Thurmond and Ms.
- 18 Schroeder, is that right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- $20 \parallel Q$. Do you -- were you involved in that transaction?
- 21 A. No.
- $22 \parallel Q$. Do you know as between those two defendants what their roles
- 23 were with respect to that transaction?
- $24 \parallel A$. No. I know that the methamphetamine was purchased from Ms.
- 25 | Thurmond.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. That's all I know.
- $3 \mid Q$. Were the two ladies present together or was the purchase
- 4 simply -- was only Ms. Thurmond involved?
- 5 A. I don't know.
- $6 \parallel Q$. Okay. Now, the car stop that you talked about, was that on
- 7 the day of the controlled purchase?
- 8 A. I believe it was, yes. August 7th, I believe.
- $9 \mid Q$. And you indicated that an ounce of methamphetamine was
- 10 recovered during the car stop?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Where was that methamphetamine recovered from?
- 13 A. From Ms. Schroeder.
- 14 0. Where? Where did Ms. Schroeder have it?
- 15 A. In her vaginal cavity.
- $16 \parallel Q$. Okay. Was there any methamphetamine recovered from Ms.
- 17 | Thurmond?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. When Ms. Thurmond was arrested in the case, where was she
- 20 located?
- 21 A. I don't know. I wasn't there.
- $22 \parallel Q$. Who were the people that she was with when she was arrested?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- 24 0. Did you interview Ms. Thurmond after her arrest?
- 25 \parallel A. No. This is the first time I've ever seen her.

- Q. What statement did Ms. Thurmond make regarding her involvement in this drug-trafficking organization?
- 3 A. Ms. Thurmond told, through the reports, through the interview 4 with Ryan Cornelius that Ms. Thurmond was selling
- 5 methamphetamines for Trevor Sparks. She had also responded to
- 6 Kansas City, Missouri, and went to -- and was picked up by
- 7 Gloria, driven to the house where she obtained a kilo of
- 8 methamphetamines to transport back to her residence for sales.
- 9 | They were selling methamphetamines there which was delivered by
- 10 Mr. Richards and Mr. Sparks to that residence and selling it.
- 11 And then the money was transferred back to Kansas City.
- 12 Q. And these statements, to your knowledge, are directly from
- 13 Ms. Thurmond?
- 14 A. Not all of them, but -- yeah. Some of them are, some of them
- 15 are not. Some of them are from other individuals. I apologize.
- 16 Q. Okay.

24

1

- 17 MR. RHOADES: Your Honor, if I could just --
- 18 THE WITNESS: Ms. Thurmond says that she --
- MR. RHOADES: Just a second. I have a summary of that
- 20 statement if Ms. Jenab would like Detective Horalek to be able to
- 21 | review that so he could answer the questions. And that's fine.
- 22 If you don't want to do that on cross, I can do it on cross. I
- 23 just thought I'd offer that if it's available.
 - (Off Record Talking)
- MR. JENAB: That would be fine, Bruce, if you want to do

1 | that.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do you want him to look at that and just refresh his recollection that -- well, you don't have to admit it. Okay.

MS. DODGE: Your Honor, may I approach?

(Off Record Discussion with Court and Ms. Dodge)

MR. JENAB: Judge, that's all I have.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JENAB: Thanks.

THE COURT: Well, then --

MS. DODGE: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Mr. Jenab is done. Do you still want your

13 | motion?

MS. DODGE: Yeah.

THE COURT: Go. Yeah. Go ahead.

MS. DODGE: I think I need to go ahead and go, Judge.

THE COURT: Right. Okay.

MS. DODGE: I'm sorry. I do apologize. I just didn't

19 know this was going to --

(Off Record Talking)

THE COURT: All right. Argument, Mr. Rhoades?

MR. RHOADES: Judge, I have a couple follow-ups. Just a couple though. Or do you --

THE COURT: You do have cross?

MR. RHOADES: I did have a couple follow-ups to that.

THE COURT: Okay. Yes. Go ahead.

MR. RHOADES: If you don't mind.

THE COURT: No, that's fine.

MR. RHOADES: Okay.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. RHOADES: I apologize, Judge.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. RHOADES:

- $9 \parallel Q$. Detective Horalek, several of the questions were about your
- 10 | information being based on merely the statements of co-
- 11 defendants. Do you remember that?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

- 13 Q. And I wanted to ask you, either in your involvement in those
- 14 interviews or your review of the reports of those interviews, did
- 15 you find any of the eight co-defendants and the one uncharged co-
- 16 conspirators, did you find any of their statements to be contrary
- 17 | to each other?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . Were they all consistent with each other?
- 20 A. Yes.
- $21 \parallel Q$. You would agree with me that even Mr. Ginnings and Mr.
- 22 Patterson in talking about the disposal of the body of Hampton,
- 23 other than pointing the finger at each other, that's the only
- 24 | inconsistency?
- 25 A. Yes.

- $1 \parallel Q$. All right. Same thing with the murder of Ms. Broyles. Other
- 2 than Patterson and Ginnings pointing the finger at each other as
- 3 to the trigger person, their stories are the same?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. All right. And then with respect to Mr. Vanover's question
- 6 about ever catching Mr. -- or any law enforcement ever seeing Mr.
- 7 | Sparks do anything illegal, Mr. Sparks was present for one of
- 8 those drug transactions that was surveilled and recorded, is that
- 9 correct?
- $10 \, \blacksquare \, A$. That is correct.
- 11 Q. So, law enforcement was involved in that and witnessed that
- 12 and recovered the drugs from that buy, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. All right. And then the drugs, money and guns that were in
- 15 the residence, those were all recovered by law enforcement,
- 16 | right?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. And that's a residence of Mr. Sparks where the drug deals
- 19 took place, where Mr. Sparks lived and where he was recovered out
- 20 of the attic?
- $21 \mid A$. That is correct.
- 22 Q. All right. And then also during one of the drug buys or
- $23 \parallel$ after one of the drug buys, there was an incident observed by law
- 24 enforcement where Mr. Sparks chased down somebody that arrived
- 25 during a drug transaction. Do you recall that?

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
- $2 \parallel Q$. And essentially what happened was is that during the
- 3 controlled drug transaction law enforcement is conducting,
- 4 | another person showed up to do a drug deal, Mr. Sparks got
- 5 aggravated about that, chased them down and searched their car,
- 6 is that correct?
- $7 \, \blacksquare \, A$. That is correct.
- 8 Q. Now, with respect to the residence at Smart where Ms. Walker
- 9 and Mr. Sparks were arrested, there were -- we already talked
- 10 \parallel about 21 guns recovered. Do you recall that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- $12 \mid Q$. Several of those firearms were recovered out of the bedroom
- 13 of that residence, is that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 \mathbb{Q} . And just to be clear, this is a residence that would fit
- 16 inside this courtroom?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. It's a very small residence?
- 19 A. Yes.
- $20 \parallel Q$. And in that bedroom where at least five of those guns were
- 21 | recovered was also where Ms. Walker's purse was recovered?
- $22 \mid A$. That is correct.
- 23 Q. And in Ms. Walker's purse was at least \$600?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- $25 \parallel Q$. And the other 30-some thousand and the drugs were also

- 1 recovered out of that bedroom?
- $2 \, \mathbb{I} \, A$. That is correct.
- $3 \parallel Q$. And of those other firearms that were recovered besides the
- 4 five that were in the bedroom there were also firearms in plain
- 5 view throughout the residence?
- $6 \, | \, A$. That is correct.
- 7 Q. And when I say plain view, I'm talking about when they went
- 8 in to arrest Ms. Walker and Mr. Sparks, the officers made note of
- 9 | all these firearms around?
- $10 \, \blacksquare \, A$. That is correct.
- 11 Q. All right. Now, with respect to Mr. Richards' attorney, he
- 12 said that when you testified about Mr. Richards allegedly
- $13 \parallel$ stealing this money and car, Mr. Richards admitted to stealing
- 14 \parallel the car and the money, right?
- 15 MR. GRAY: Objection. Misstates testimony and goes
- 16 beyond the scope of cross.
- THE COURT: Well, let --
- 18 MR. GRAY: Like I said, I don't -- never heard anything
- 19 about Mr. Richards admitting to stealing anything or any question
- 20 regarding whether Mr. Richards admitted to that. That question
- 21 was never asked.
- 22 THE COURT: Overruled.
- MR. RHOADES: Thank you.
- 24 BY MR. RHOADES:
- 25 Q. Now, Mr. Richards gave a statement to you while he was in

- 1 custody, right?
- $2 \mid A$. Yes, he did.
- $3 \parallel Q$. In custody in November when he could not have been in
- 4 possession of those firearms in November, right?
- $5 \parallel A$. That is correct.
- $6 \parallel Q$. Okay. And during that statement that Mr. Gray specifically
- 7 asked you about you saying to Mr. Richards that you were looking
- $8 \parallel$ for a witness, do you remember that statement?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And during that statement Mr. Richards admitted that
- 11 he stole the vehicle and the money from the drug house in the St.
- 12 Louis area?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. And he admitted that the reason he did that was because he
- 15 was feeling like he wasn't being treated properly by the --
- 16 MR. GRAY: Objection to the form of the question, Your
- 17 Honor, leading. And I mean, he can't testify as to what Mr.
- 18 Richards stated.
- 19 THE COURT: All right.
- 20 MR. GRAY: The agent was there.
- 21 THE COURT: Just ask him the question.
- 22 BY MR. RHOADES:
- 23 $\|Q$. What did he say about the reason he did that?
- $24 \parallel A$. He said before he was in charge of delivering at least seven
- 25 kilograms a week to the residence on behalf of Mr. Sparks. And

- 1 then once Ms. Wesson and Gloria Jones messed up the money, his
- 2 job was to go to St. Louis and watch over the house. He got
- 3 tired of watching over the house and he didn't think that was a
- 4 great role anymore and he got bored of it. And so he stole Ms.
- 5 Thurmond's car which he sold for \$300 and two -- two ounces of
- 6 methamphetamines to a party named Evil over in Kansas City,
- 7 Kansas. And then he spent and blew the rest of the money and
- 8 he's been -- stayed away from Mr. Sparks since then.
- $9 \parallel Q$. Okay. And then you bring up the other point is Mr. Richards
- 10 | in that statement indicated that he had been delivering -- he and
- 11 Mr. Sparks had been delivering how much methamphetamine a week?
- 12 A. On an average of seven kilograms a week.
- 13 0. From what date to what date?
- 14 \blacksquare A. From May 2017 through July 4th, 2018.
- 15 Q. All right. And then with respect to Ms. Thurmond you talked
- $16 \parallel$ about a statement that she had made about coming to Kansas City
- 17 and getting a kilo of methamphetamine and taking it back to St.
- 18 Louis for distribution. Do you remember talking about that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- $20 \parallel Q$. There's a video that corroborates her trip to Kansas City,
- 21 | correct?
- 22 A. Yeah. She arrived at the McDonald's on Hardesty and
- 23 Independence Avenue. Surveillance cameras had Ms. Thurmond -- I
- 24 mean correction, I'm sorry -- Ms. Jones pick up Ms. Thurmond in a
- 25 Chrysler 300 and take them back to -- well, they get in the

- 1 vehicle and they leave.
- $2 \mid Q$. And you obtained that video after getting the information
- 3 about Ms. Thurmond's statement in an effort to corroborate her
- $4 \parallel$ statement that she had actually come to Kansas City on that date
- 5 and met with Ms. Jones at that McDonald's?
- $6 \parallel A$. Actually the Homicide Unit did that.
- 7 MR. RHOADES: That's all I have. Thanks.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 9 MR. GRAY: I have a couple of questions on --
- 10 THE COURT: That's -- okay. Okay.
 - RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. GRAY:

- 13 Q. All right, Detective. Now, was the interview with Mr.
- 14 Richards recorded?
- 15 \mathbb{A} . Yes, it was.
- $16 \parallel Q$. Okay. And you stated that he specifically admitted to being
- 17 present and distributing seven kilos of methamphetamines a week?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now, when I asked you earlier about Mr. Richards' drug
- 20 involvement or anything you -- why didn't you state that you had
- 21 an admission from him regarding moving large quantities of
- 22 | methamphetamines?
- 23 A. Because you didn't ask.
- 24 Q. Okay. All right. But I did ask you about information
- 25 pertaining to my client in this case, correct?

- You asked about November 22nd. Α.
- And I asked you about the other allegation --0. No.

MR. RHOADES: Judge, and I'm sorry. Are we arguing or are we asking questions? 4

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6 BY MR. GRAY:

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Again, so I only asked you in regards to the gun charge?
- We were talking about the guns that I can recall, yes.

MR. GRAY: Okay. All right. No further questions.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Any argument, Mr.

Rhoades?

MR. RHOADES: With respect to detention?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. RHOADES: Yes, Your Honor. Would you like me to start with -- I can go through all the defendants at once or do you want me to do it one at a time?

THE COURT: Let's go through them all.

MR. RHOADES: Yes, ma'am. Your Honor, with respect to Mr. Sparks, I believe I have these in order. Just one second. Sparks, Jones, Walker. Sorry, Judge. I want to make sure I have them in the right order. Sparks, Jones, Walker, Richards --

THE COURT: Sparks, Jones, Walker, Richards, Thurmond.

MR. RHOADES: I do. I have them in order. Okay. So, with respect to Mr. Sparks, Judge, first and foremost, of course as Ms. -- anyway, Judge, obviously this is not a preliminary

hearing, we were providing those facts for the Court for a determination on detention. And as the Court knows and all these lawyers here know, there are a number of matters under the Bail Reform Act that apply and several of those come into play with Mr. Sparks, Judge. First and foremost is the fact that we have the presumption that applies to Mr. Sparks. I have -- I would propose that there has been nothing to overcome that presumption in this case. But even if the Court finds differently, then where we are at is, with respect to Mr. Sparks, is the strength of the Government's case, the fact that he was found at the residence where we had done drug buys, and at that residence where money, drug ledgers, drugs and firearms were all located, which, of course, corroborate the eight co-defendants' statements that have been testified to here. And so the strength of the case, strength of the evidence, to say nothing of the value of the actual items recovered from that residence with respect to the Indictment. Then, Judge, is, of course, the fact of the danger to the community or I guess flight risk. There has been not, candidly, Judge, a lot about flight risk. But it does have to do with prior to this time, Judge, Mr. Sparks has not been facing a charge that carries a 20-year statutory minimum if convicted. But additionally, Judge, is the fact that with respect to danger, we talked about Mr. Sparks' activity in front of law enforcement during a drug buy of chasing people down. We've also, Judge, I think put on a fair amount of evidence about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Sparks' involvement in the death of -- beating death of one person and ordering the murder of a second person. So, then finally, Judge, where we're at is Mr. Sparks' criminal history. I'll not belabor that point. I think the Court, I don't think, I know the Court has that information in front of them and can evaluate that the exact same way I could evaluate it. So, that's with respect to Mr. Sparks, Judge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With respect to Ms. Jones, Judge, Ms. Jones is also facing similar charges. She is not charged in the continuing criminal enterprise, but she is charged in a case that carries a 10-year statutory minimum. But also, Judge, and because of that is facing the presumption. I would also state that I don't believe she has overcome that. But even if the Court finds that she has, what we're looking at, Judge, is again, with respect to her criminal history that speaks for itself. I'll leave that to the Court. But what it does show, Judge, is also that she is a potential danger based on the statements contained in the testimony today with her involvement in, not only the beating death of Mr. Hampton, but the guarding of Ms. Broyles and that ultimately led to her death, and Ms. Jones' knowledge of it and involvement in those activities and continue to associate with Mr. Sparks long after those activities, in fact, to the point where she was at the new wife's residence with a child where she was found, or at least in the location where she was staying was found ammunition, which is, of course, a completely another

charge. But, Judge, we believe that on presumption, danger, she is a subject for detention.

Judge, following Ms. Jones is, of course, Ms. Walker.

Judge, Ms. Walker I do not dispute the fact that, as Ms. Nouri

very astutely got out in her very short time of direct, she was

cooperative after her arrest. The concern the Government has,

Judge, is her knowledge of and involvement in these very violent

-- this very violent conspiracy. Also her, what I call criminal

history, that is somewhat limited admittedly, Judge, but it does

demonstrate an ongoing involvement with law enforcement -
contact with law enforcement and ongoing activity while under

some type of court, either supervision or court cases. And so

the likelihood of her complying with court orders the Government

believes leads to a need for detention. And again, Judge, with

respect to the presumption that we do not believe has been

overcome with her either.

Judge, then we have Mr. Richards. Mr. Richards implicated himself, Judge. That makes the strength of the Government's case. I would also refer to Ms. Walker as implicating herself for the strength of the Government's case in that with Mr. Richards. Seven -- approximately seven kilos a week for approximately a year is a whole lot of methamphetamine. Good for Mr. Richards. He managed to get himself out of this mess before Mr. Hampton was beaten and Ms. Broyles was killed. We do not claim that he was involved in that or had knowledge of

that. But his involvement in the conspiracy as a whole exposes him to the presumption. It exposes him to likely -- a high likelihood of conviction based on his own admissions.

Admittedly, I'm sure as Mr. Gray would say, is there will be a motion to suppress to keep that statement out, but there is a plethora of corroborating evidence to corroborate that whether that statement is considered by the Court or not. And so the next person, Judge, and then, of course, with Mr. Richards is also his criminal history and his continued involvement in illegal activity while under some type of court involvement or supervision.

Judge, then you have Ms. Thurmond and, Judge, Ms.

Thurmond and Ms. Schroeder are very similar. I will pretty much argue the same on both of them. I'm sorry. We're not talking about Ms. Schroeder. I forgot, Judge. We're talking about Ms.

Thurmond only. Judge, with respect to Ms. Thurmond, her knowledge of and failure to intervene in the beating of Mr.

Hampton, I think that is something the Court can consider. I will admit that in that environment, it might have been her undoing to have intervened. I don't dispute that. But she continued to be involved after that with this conspiracy. She was involved to a large degree in large amounts of methamphetamine by her own admissions and by corroborated statements of others. And, therefore, Judge, we believe that based on the presumption and based on the strength of the

Government's case, and also based on her criminal history and involvement in illegal activity while involvement with other courts exposes here also to detention. And so based on all that, Judge, we would ask that all the defendants here today be detained.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right. Mr. -- okay. Do you want to go ahead, Mr. Vanover?

Judge, as to Mr. Sparks, there has MR. VANOVER: Yes. been some testimony about a couple of homicides, but no one is charged with homicide today. They're charged with drug offenses and related offenses. The evidence largely -- it sounds like the evidence largely against Mr. Sparks comes from co-defendants and other people that the Government says are criminals. The one example that the Government gave and clarified was Mr. Sparks happened to be at a house where a transaction happened and where evidence was recovered. But I think we sure would have heard if there was evidence of Mr. Sparks doing that transaction, that would have come out. So, the strength of the case against Mr. Sparks appears to come from criminals and co-defendants and cooperators with this one exception of where he just happened to be in the same place where activity was going on. Mr. Sparks is a lifelong resident of the area. He's still a young man, 29 years old. He has been in the court system multiple times in the past, but I think you'll see that when he does get into the court system, he shows up for court, that there's no record, there's no motion in the Pretrial Report of failing to appear. Once he gets into the court system it does look like he comes back. that's what we're asking for now is that you allow him bond, that the detention be denied so that he can go out back into the community where he's lived his whole life and then come back to court as required. Now, next week is Christmas. Mr. Sparks has an 11-month-old son, so his son's first Christmas is going to happen next week. And then his son's first birthday is just a few days after that. Mr. Sparks would very much like to be with his son on his first Christmas and his son's first birthday and is willing to do any sort of ankle monitoring or GPS tracking for a short period of time. And if the Court feels it's necessary to readdress detention after next week, it's certainly understandable considering the allegations against him. this point the defense, Mr. Sparks is asking that the detention motion be denied.

1

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Vanover. Ms. Francis.

MS. FRANCIS: Thank you, Your Honor. We would like to point out that the evidence as to the crimes primarily derives from two women who the first thing the Government asked the detective about was their collusion to come up with some way to not testify against themselves. It shows they have a propensity to try and help one another. They've clearly tried to get their stories together. So, their statements to the detective should

be evaluated with that in mind. Additionally, as to the drug sale, it was indicated that it was a small amount and not even that the full ounce was there. We believe based on the Pretrial Report that Ms. Jones has a significant alcohol problem and we've discussed with Pretrial the possibility of a 28-day in-patient treatment. We think that would be appropriate within the confines of the detention rules and that would be sufficient to address any safety to the community or flight issues the Court might have.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Francis. Ms. Nouri.

MS. NOURI: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, in an effort to overcome the presumption of detention, Ms. Walker asserts that there is a combination of conditions that can assure her appearance and keep the community safe. Her Pretrial Report shows that there is no failure to appears at all. Her history is very limited and it is drug usage, marijuana specifically. Her father was interviewed by Pretrial. He would provide a stable home. He is a stable person and he has stable employment. Unfortunately he is not here to co-sign a bond. I would ask the Court to consider if a bond needs to be co-signed, to not completely rule on detention if you're leaning that way. There's an alternative person who could co-sign and I could provide that person's name and number to Mr. Hair after court for verification. But that would still include residing with her

father in Savannah, Missouri, Your Honor. Also, Ms. Walker is willing to do in-patient, out-patient, whatever. You can see by the Pretrial Report she has somewhat of a dual diagnosis and she definitely needs some mental health treatment also. I say that because she is currently in a holding cell in Caldwell County, which I've informed the Marshals here in court and they're contacting another Marshal to see if that can be dealt with because there's another female co-defendant here in Caldwell and they won't put the clients together even though they've never -or they don't have any contact. They don't -- they can't keep this lady in a little holding cell long term. So, either move her to a different facility or do something. I'm just throwing that out, Your Honor, because it's a concern with her mental health issues to keep her in the tiny holding cell. She also had nothing to do with any -- anything in St. Louis per Detective Horalek. Arrested without incident, spoke with him, cooperated, told about her own involvement, told about her involvement in drugs, told about everything she did. She has no history of assaults. Sure. When you hear about two beatings that's very serious allegations, Your Honor. But I submit to you that there are a combination of conditions that can be met and that her going to treatment would be greatly beneficial for her, Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Nouri. Mr. Gray MR. GRAY: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. May it please

the Court? Also in an effort to overcome the presumption, Your Honor, there are numerous 3142 factors that the Court should consider. Mr. Richards is not a flight risk or a danger to the community. He's not been charged or accused of any allegations or crimes of violence or involving violence even in this case or If you look at his criminal history, five of the seven matters that have been disposed of are traffic related. The other two involve drug use, paraphernalia. The two failure to appears that are recent, according to my client, again, deals with him being in custody in other jurisdictions that prevented him from being able to appear in court. Mr. Richards does have a good employment background. He is a union worker, a heavyequipment operator and he does have the possibility of being hired by Badger Daylighting as a heavy-equipment operator through Local 101 if released. He currently resides with his young daughter's mother and her mother in Oak -- I believe here locally in Oak Grove, Your Honor. And they have a young daughter, Molly, who is six months old. Again, Christmas and the holidays coming This matter is not going to be resolved in the near future it sounds like. And we mentioned the weight of the evidence. There was extensive testimony that showed again Mr. Richards was not involved in anything where he was actually in possession of a firearm, in possession of any drugs, involved in any sort of violent matter whatsoever. And initially, Detective Horalek testified that he planned on Mr. Richards, you know, being a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

witness and had been cooperative and I guess any information that he's provided or that the Government has related to Mr. Richards deals with a conversation that he had with the detective which we have yet to see, Your Honor. However, again, there are conditions that the Court can set that will reasonably assure Mr. Richards' appearance. He has -- his child's mother who has stated that she is willing to sign a \$7,500 bond. They also are willing to do ankle monitoring and any other conditions that the Court would impose that would allow Mr. Richards who, again, is not a felon, never been accused or charged of any crimes of violence and is a lifelong resident of the Kansas City area, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jenab.

MR. JENAB: Thank you, Your Honor. If Ms. -- if Ms. Thurmond is given bond, as I indicated at the beginning of this hearing, she would be residing with her mother. Her mother also is willing to sign a bond in case the Court did want to have a third party sign. If you look at Ms. Thurmond's history, she does have a history of involvement in basically municipal court. She has no felony convictions. She has never been charged with a felony offense. She does have some failures to appear in municipal court and in I guess associate circuit court. But if she is -- in fact, I will also state that she does have some warrants right now. However, if she is given bond, she will get the warrants taken care of. She will do whatever the Court

wishes to ensure that she remains drug free. I do think that the problems that Ms. Thurmond has had, you know, are -- have been drug-related. She's willing to do any kind of treatment, whatever the Pretrial Officer believes appropriate, whether it's in-patient, out-patient. She's willing to wear a sweat patch. She's willing to have the remote Breathalyzer. She's willing to wear an ankle bracelet, have GPS monitoring. I think it's -- I think that if the Court were to impose those conditions, that there is reason for the Court to conclude that Ms. Thurmond would not be a danger either to herself or to the community and that she would appear as directed. She would continue working. it's noted in the Pretrial Report, she has been doing -- working cleaning houses and she would continue doing that consistent with her obligations on pretrial to do drug treatment and whatever else is required of her. I think also living with her mom would be very beneficial for her and would help her kind of keep on the straight and narrow as well. As the Court is aware, also Ms. Thurmond has three young children, the youngest being only three months old. If there's any way that the Court could put together a combination of conditions that would allow her to be with her infant child, she would be very grateful for it. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Jenab. All right. The Court

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Jenab. All right. The Court has considered the Pretrial Services Reports, the evidence that was put on by the Government and all the cross-examination that was done. In regard to Mr. Sparks, and I'll note that in this

case, there is a presumption of detention for all of these defendants because of the penalties that they face in the Indictment. As to Mr. Sparks, I don't believe that presumption has been overcome. There are — there is evidence by a preponderance of the evidence that he would be a flight risk and also by clear and convincing evidence that he would be a danger to the community. So, based upon the factors under 18 U.S.C. Section 3142(g), the Court will order him detained.

As far as Ms. Jones, again, the presumption does apply to this case. It's a more difficult decision for Ms. Jones. I think that presumption has been overcome, so I will set a bond for Ms. Jones, but it'll be a \$10,000, 10 percent cash bond on that. And I'll go over the conditions of that in a moment. And that's based upon the factors under 18 U.S.C. 3142(g), the nature of the circumstances, the weight of the evidence, her history and characteristics.

As far as Ms. Walker as well, I believe that the presumption has been overcome in that case and we'll also set a bond for her a \$10,000, 10 percent cash bond for Ms. Walker. For Jones and Walker, I think there is a combination of conditions that could assure the safety of the community and a flight risk in this situation. And I'll go over those conditions as well in a moment.

Mr. Richards. Mr. Richards, you do have a -- you have a warrant in Kansas in Johnson County, a full extradition warrant.

MR. GRAY: And, Your Honor, if I may? I apologize.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. GRAY: And I apologize for not addressing that. And it is our belief that that activity and indictment probably involves allegations in this particular case, given with the felony. And then the other outstanding warrants I believe are for shoplifting and another traffic matter. And Mr. Richards, again, is willing to consent to extradition or just to get released on those matters and get those matters resolved. He's willing to do whatever the Court requires of him upon release to make sure that those other matters are resolved and he appears here in court, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. RHOADES: Your Honor, there is actually no knowledge from the Government that that is related in any way, shape, form or fashion to these charges.

THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate the argument, Mr. Gray, but I'm going to order Mr. Richards to be detained based upon his criminal -- well, basically, the warrants out in Kansas of the no bond, extradition bonds warrant there. Some of his criminal history, he does have a failure to appear. So, under the factors of 3142(g), I order that he's detained as well.

As far as Ms. Thurmond --

MS. FRANCIS: Your Honor, Ms. Thurmond is -(Off Record Talking)

MS. FRANCIS: Never mind. I was confused as to (inaudible).

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. All right. And you do have some failures to appear recently in 2018, in 2000 -- both are 2018 recently out of St. Charles and -- both of those are out of St. Charles. And I believe that your mother has indicated that she would -- has she indicated that she would sign a bond, Mr. Jenab?

MR. JENAB: Yes, Your Honor. Also, I think that most recent failure to appear was because she was in custody in Lincoln County. But I will --

THE COURT: I'm not sure. I just wanted to note that though.

MR. JENAB: More -- yeah. Okay.

THE COURT: All right. For Ms. Thurmond, I believe the presumption has been overcome as well in that, and that a bond would be appropriate, a \$10,000, 10 percent cash bond for her as well. And all these bonds need to be signed by a person approved by the Court. So, that would be the Court's decision there.

MS. CHORNY: Tara, I'll have to get you bond papers for her.

MS. WESTERHOF: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm going to go over some of the conditions. I'll try to go as fast as possible. But for all of you that I've set a bond, as I said, it will be signed by

a person that has been approved by the Court. You're to actively seek employment and advise the supervising officer of your employment status. You abide by the following restrictions of personal associations, place of abode, and travel and reside, except for Ms. Thurmond I believe, she'll be in St. Charles, I believe.

MR. JENAB: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Will reside in the counties of Jackson County, Missouri, Clay County, Missouri, Platte County, Missouri, Cass County, Missouri, Johnson County, Kansas, and Wyandotte County, Kansas. You're to avoid all contact with alleged victims and potential witnesses. Obey all the instructions and directions of the Pretrial Services Officer. You have to comply with a curfew to be in your residence between 10 p.m. and 10 a.m. You're not possess, that's actual or constructive possession of a firearm, destructive device or any dangerous weapon. No use of alcohol. No possession of any unlawful drug or controlled substance unless it's prescribed by a medical -- licensed medical practitioner. To provide a urine, sweat or Breathalyzer specimen as requested by the Pretrial Services Officer and to not obstruct or attempt to obstruct any of the testing of the officers. You're to undergo any medical or psychiatric treatment, including treatment for alcohol and other drug dependency. All of you all need that and you must comply with those conditions. knowingly associate with any person who was convicted of or is

charged in any court with any unlawful use, possession or sale of any unlawful drug or controlled substance. And that means to stay away from each other. If anyone who is on bond, do not have contact with each other -- with each other in any way at all. If you have any warrants that are outstanding, you need to make sure that those warrants are taken care of in 30 days. The Pretrial Services Officer will go over some additional -- if there are any additional conditions -- I don't think so. But if you violate the conditions of your bond, there are consequences. I mean, one, I'm telling you right now, one violation, I don't care what it is, you'll come back right here and you will be detained. Because it was a very close call on my part. So, I will not tolerate any violations at all. Do you understand that?

ALL DEFENDANTS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MS. NOURI: Your Honor, I'm sorry. In your list of counties could you include Buchanan County which is Savannah, Missouri.

MR. JENAB: And Andrew County also.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. NOURI: Andrew County.

THE COURT: Well, I'll add those to hers only.

MS. NOURI: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes.

THE COURT: Then for Ms. Thurmond, St. Charles County and -- that's pretty big. St. Charles County. Yeah. So, St.

Charles County or you'll be back here in the Western District. 1 2 MR. JENAB: So, she's good to travel --3 THE COURT: Through to get here. 4 MR. JENAB: Right. 5 THE COURT: I know there are a lot of counties to get 6 through to get here. But as far as, you know, stopping and being 7 in those other counties, that is not --8 MR. JENAB: Okay. 9 THE COURT: -- tolerated. 10 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. JENAB: 11 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else that we need 12 to cover? 13 Your Honor, I know you have addressed it, MR. RHOADES: 14 but I just -- because of the number of cases -- number of 15 defendants in the case and also some of the facts that were presented here today, I would ask that the Court reiterate the no 16 contact between co-defendants and no contact between witnesses. 17 18 And if you wouldn't mind elaborating on no contact meaning no contact whether it's, as a judge used to say, carrier pigeon, 19 20 phone call, mail, whatever. Thank you. 21 THE COURT: Well, I will emphasize that. Because no 22 contact means none. So, that covers everything, phone calls, 23 Facebook, any type of contact at all, zero. There will be zero. 24 Ms. Thurmond, do you understand that? 25 MS. THURMOND: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Ms. Jones? MS. JONES: Yes. THE COURT: And, Ms. Walker? MS. WALKER: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: All right. I don't want any -- there is no confusion. Okay? All right. I think that's all I need to cover. MR. RHOADES: That's all I have, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: We'll be in recess. Thank you. MS. NOURI: Thank you, Your Honor. (Court Adjourned at 11:34 a.m.)

from the electronic sound recording of the proceeding in the above-entitled matter.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript

/s/ Lissa C. Whittaker
Signature of transcriber

October 17, 2019
Date